

On Lie-algebraic solutions of the type IIB matrix model

Athanasios Chatzistavrakidis

*Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics and Physikalisches Institut der Universität Bonn
Nussallee 12, D-53115 Bonn, Germany*

E-mail: than@th.physik.uni-bonn.de

Abstract

A systematic search for Lie algebra solutions of the type IIB matrix model is performed. Our survey is based on the classification of all Lie algebras for dimensions up to five and of all nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension six. It is shown that Lie-type solutions of the equations of motion of the type IIB matrix model exist and they correspond to certain nilpotent and solvable Lie algebras. Their representation in terms of Hermitian matrices is discussed in detail. These algebras give rise to certain non-commutative spaces for which the corresponding star-products are provided. Finally the issue of constructing quantized compact nilmanifolds and solvmanifolds based on the above algebras is addressed.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The type IIB matrix model	2
3	Lie-algebraic solutions	4
3.1	Solutions of the equations of motion	4
3.2	Representations in terms of Hermitian matrices	8
3.3	Non-commutative spaces and $*$ -products	11
4	Towards quantized compact nilmanifolds	13
5	Discussion and Conclusions	16
A	Definitions on Lie algebras	17

1 Introduction

String-inspired Matrix Models (MM) were proposed as non-perturbative definitions of M theory [1] and type IIB superstring theory [2]. Such MM provide an interesting and simple framework to study the dynamics of branes, both analytically and numerically.

Several solutions of the above MM have been identified. In particular, as far as the model of Ishibashi-Kawai-Kitazawa-Tsuchiya (IKKT) [2] is concerned, the first solutions appear in the original publication and they correspond to one or more D -strings. Odd-dimensional Dp -brane solutions, in accord with type IIB superstring theory, were described and studied in [3–7]. On the other hand, compact non-commutative (NC) spaces, such as fuzzy tori, fuzzy spheres and other fuzzy homogeneous spaces, were shown to provide solutions upon adding extra terms (deformations) in the original action [8–13]. Compact solutions of the undeformed MM were described only recently [14]. The relation of the IKKT model to toroidal compactifications was already discussed from a different point of view in the pioneering paper [15].

The fluctuations around solutions of the MM carry gauge degrees of freedom and provide a fruitful arena to study non-abelian gauge theories on NC space-time [7]. Such backgrounds may therefore provide a natural set-up for model building, much like vacua of the type IIB string theory with D -branes. Such attempts were made in [16–18]. More recently, configurations of intersecting NC branes in the IKKT model were studied and a realization of the gauge group and the chiral spectrum of the standard model was provided in [19]. Similar considerations in a field-theoretical context were discussed in [20–23].

In the present work we perform a survey on the possible Lie-type solutions to the (undeformed) IKKT MM. In other words, we examine which of the known and classified (semisimple, nilpotent and solvable) Lie algebras provide solutions to its equations of motion. Such an examination does not come without its restrictions. Indeed, the classification of Lie algebras beyond six dimensions becomes complicated. Therefore, our first restriction is to focus on all the Lie algebras of dimension up to five and all nilpotent Lie algebras of

dimension six¹. For these low numbers of dimensions full classification tables exist [25–27] and therefore our task becomes tractable. Moreover, we shall be interested in the following only in non-abelian algebras. Abelian ones and algebraic sums of them are always solutions of the MM but they do not lead to interesting dynamics; therefore they will not be further discussed. Finally, let us mention that we work here with real Lie algebras; Lie algebras over different fields will not be considered.

Under the above requirements, scanning the classification tables of Lie algebras in section 3, we come up with the following result. There are only nine Lie-algebraic solutions to the IKKT MM, one of which is 3-dimensional, one 4-dimensional, three 5-dimensional and four 6-dimensional. Out of the above nine cases, seven correspond to nilpotent Lie algebras and two of them correspond to solvable ones. There are no semisimple Lie algebras providing tree-level solutions to the undeformed IKKT MM.

Having identified the Lie algebras which constitute solutions of the equations of motion of the model, the next step is to study whether they can be represented by Hermitian matrices. Evidently this is a necessary requirement in order for these Lie algebras to correspond to NC spaces which are indeed solutions of the MM. Utilizing the powerful results of Kirillov on the unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups [28], such representations are indeed determined for most of the relevant Lie algebras.

Finally, the issue of constructing compact non-commutative spaces based on these algebras is addressed. The main possibility which arises in this context is to consider spaces obtained as the quotient of a nilpotent Lie group by a compact discrete subgroup of it. Such spaces are known as nilmanifolds and they can be compact even when the nilpotent group is not [29]. Some of them are known to provide string flux vacua based on the ideas of the seminal paper [30], see e.g. [31–34] and references therein. In an appendix we collect some useful definitions on Lie algebras.

2 The type IIB matrix model

Action and symmetries. Let us briefly describe the IKKT or IIB matrix model, which was originally proposed in [2] as a non-perturbative definition of the type IIB superstring theory. It is a 0-dimensional reduced matrix model defined by the action

$$S = -\frac{\Lambda^4}{g^2} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{4} [X_a, X_b] [X^a, X^b] + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \Gamma^a [X_a, \psi] \right), \quad (1)$$

where $X_a, a = 0, \dots, 9$ are ten hermitian matrices, and ψ are sixteen-component Majorana-Weyl spinors of $SO(9, 1)$. Indices are raised and lowered with the invariant tensor η_{ab} , or possibly δ_{ab} in the Euclidean version of the model where $SO(9, 1)$ is replaced by $SO(10)$. The Γ^a are generators of the corresponding Clifford algebra. Λ is an energy scale, which we will set equal to one, $\Lambda = 1$, and work with dimensionless quantities. Finally, g is a parameter which can be related to the gauge coupling constant.

The symmetry group of the above model contains the $U(N)$ gauge group (where the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ is understood) as well as the $SO(10)$ or $SO(9, 1)$ global symmetry. Moreover, the model is $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric, with supersymmetries realized by the following

¹The same classification was used in [24] in the construction of WZW models.

transformations,

$$\delta_\epsilon \psi = \frac{i}{2} [X_a, X_b] \Gamma^{ab} \epsilon, \quad \delta_\epsilon X_a = i \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_a \psi, \quad (2)$$

$$\delta_\xi \psi = \xi, \quad \delta_\xi X_a = 0, \quad (3)$$

where Γ^{ab} denotes the antisymmetrized product of gamma matrices as usual. Therefore, the amount of supersymmetry indeed matches that of the type IIB superstring. Let us also note that the homogeneous ϵ -supersymmetry is inherited by the maximal $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry of super-Yang-Mills theory in ten dimensions.

It is important to stress that due to its 0-dimensional nature, the IKKT model is not defined on any predetermined space-time background. Instead, space-time emerges as a particular solution of the model, as we discuss in the following. This picture provides a dynamical origin for geometry and space-time.

Equations of motion and basic solutions. Varying the action (1) with respect to the matrices X_a and setting $\psi = 0$, the following equations of motion are obtained,

$$[X_b, [X^a, X^b]] = 0. \quad (4)$$

Simple as they may appear, these equations admit diverse interesting and non-trivial solutions. Clearly, the simplest solution is given by a set of commuting matrices, $[X^a, X^b] = 0$. In that case, the matrices X^a can be simultaneously diagonalized and therefore they may be expressed as

$$X^a = \text{diag}(X_1^a, X_2^a, \dots, X_N^a). \quad (5)$$

However, such solutions are in a sense degenerate and do not lead to interesting dynamics. In the following, commutative solutions will not be considered any further.

For notational convenience let us now split the ten matrices X^a in two sets; we shall use the following notation,

$$X^a = \begin{pmatrix} X^\mu \\ X^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where the $X^\mu, \mu = 0, \dots, 3$ correspond to the first four X^a matrices and the $X^i, i = 1, \dots, 6$ to the six rest of the X^a matrices respectively². In this notation, another solution of the equations (4) is given by

$$X^a = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{X}^\mu \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

where \bar{X}^μ are the generators of the Moyal-Weyl quantum plane \mathbb{R}_θ^4 , which satisfy the commutation relation

$$[\bar{X}^\mu, \bar{X}^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (8)$$

where $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is a constant antisymmetric tensor. This solution corresponds to a single non-commutative (NC) flat 3-brane, which corresponds to space-time emerging as a solution

²Let us stress that although a splitting of the type $10 = 4 + 6$ is considered here, this is not a priori favoured by the dynamics of the model. For studies related to the 4-dimensionality of space-time in the IKKT model see e.g. [6, 35, 36].

of the matrix model. Being a single brane, this solution is associated to an abelian gauge theory. An obvious generalization of the above solution is given by

$$X^a = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{X}^a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbb{1}_n, \quad (9)$$

which is interpreted as n coincident branes carrying a non-abelian $U(n)$ gauge theory.

3 Lie-algebraic solutions

In the present section we search for solutions of the IKKT model which have the structure of a Lie algebra. This task may be split in two steps. First the Lie algebra should solve the equations of motion (4). Secondly, the algebras which pass the first test should possess representations in terms of Hermitian matrices, since only then they may be considered solutions of the IKKT model. We shall address these two issues separately below.

The Lie algebras we study here are algebras over the field of real numbers. The classification we follow appears in the tables of [27], which is a complete classification of real Lie algebras of dimension up to five and real nilpotent Lie algebras³ of dimension six⁴. Let us mention that a certain classification of solvable Lie algebras of dimension six was partially given in [37] and later completed in [38] but here we shall restrict only on the cases mentioned above, appearing in [27], and leave a more complete analysis for a future work.

A note on notation is in order here. The Lie algebras under study will be denoted as $\mathcal{A}_{d,i}$, where d is the dimension of the algebra (the number of its generators) and i is just an enumerative index according to the tables of [27]. Moreover, when there is some parameter on which the algebra depends, it will appear as superscript, e.g. $\mathcal{A}_{d,i}^\alpha$ if there is one parameter α . Let us also note that the generators of an algebra will be denoted as $X_a, a = 1, \dots, d$.

For the solutions that we find, the corresponding quadratic Casimir operators are presented, as well as the Killing form g_{ab} and the invariant metric Ω_{ab} (whenever it exists). The related definitions appear in the appendix. It is important to note that unlike semisimple Lie groups, where the invariant metric is proportional to the Killing form, for a general Lie group this is not true as it will become obvious in some of the following examples.

As a final remark, let us explain that when we refer to a solution of the equations (4) it is implied that the rest of the matrices (i.e. ten minus the number of algebra generators) are taken to be zero. For example, in the case of a 3-dimensional algebra with generators X_1, X_2 and X_3 , a solution would be a set of commutation relations which solve eq. (4) accompanied by $X_i = 0, i = 4, \dots, 9$. Of course such solutions may be subsequently combined with the basic solution of section 2.

3.1 Solutions of the equations of motion

1- and 2-dimensional Lie algebras. There is one real 1-dimensional Lie algebra, $\mathcal{A}_{1,1}$. Evidently, this is an abelian algebra and it constitutes a solution of the IKKT model,

³For the definition of nilpotent and solvable Lie algebras the reader may consult the appendix.

⁴Nilpotent algebras of dimension seven are also classified but they are not finitely many.

albeit not an interesting one as we have already argued. Therefore it will not be considered further.

As far as 2-dimensional Lie algebras are concerned, there exists the algebraic sum of two copies of $\mathcal{A}_{1,1}$, namely $\mathcal{A}_{1,1} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{1,1}$, which we shall not consider for the above reasons. This will be true in all dimensions to follow from now on and algebraic sums of lower-dimensional abelian algebras will not be considered further. Moreover, there exists one non-abelian solvable Lie algebra in two dimensions, based on the following commutation relation,

$$[X_1, X_2] = iX_2. \quad (10)$$

However, it is clearly not a solution to the matrix model, since one may easily verify that

$$[X_1, [X_1, X_2]] = -X_2 \neq 0 \quad (11)$$

and therefore the corresponding equation of motion is not satisfied.

3-dimensional Lie algebras. There exist nine real Lie algebras $\mathcal{A}_{3,i}$ in three dimensions⁵. One of them is nilpotent, six are solvable and two are the well-known semisimple ones, which are isomorphic to $su(2)$ and $su(1,1) \sim sl(2;\mathbb{R})$. Using their commutation relations appearing in [27] it is straightforward to verify that only one of them, the $\mathcal{A}_{3,1}$ one, provides a solution of the equations of motion (4). The only nontrivial commutation relation of this algebra is

$$[X_2, X_3] = iX_1. \quad (12)$$

This algebra is nilpotent and its only quadratic Casimir operator is $C^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}_{3,1}) = X_1^2$. Its Killing form vanishes identically, $g_{ab} = 0$, while

$$\Omega^{ab} = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0), \quad (13)$$

which is degenerate.

4-dimensional Lie algebras. There are twelve 4-dimensional real Lie algebras $\mathcal{A}_{4,i}$ and in particular one nilpotent and eleven solvable ones. Out of these algebras we find only one that provides a solution to the equations (4). It is the $\mathcal{A}_{4,12}$ one, which is solvable and its commutation relations are

$$[X_1, X_3] = iX_1, \quad [X_2, X_3] = iX_2, \quad [X_1, X_4] = -iX_2, \quad [X_2, X_4] = iX_1. \quad (14)$$

However, this algebra does not possess any quadratic Casimir operators (in fact it does not possess any invariants at all) and therefore it is of no further interest.

5-dimensional Lie algebras. In five dimensions the number of real Lie algebras sums up to forty. Six of them are nilpotent and the rest are solvable. Scanning the commutation relations of the corresponding table in [27] we find three solutions to the equations (4), corresponding to the algebras $\mathcal{A}_{5,1}$, $\mathcal{A}_{5,4}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{5,39}$.

⁵We always refer to algebras which are not algebraic sums of lower-dimensional ones.

$\mathcal{A}_{5,1}$ is a nilpotent algebra with the following commutation relations,

$$[X_3, X_5] = iX_1, \quad [X_4, X_5] = iX_2. \quad (15)$$

Its invariants are X_1, X_2 and $X_2X_3 - X_1X_4$ and therefore its quadratic Casimir operator may be written as

$$C^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}_{5,1}) = pX_1^2 + qX_2^2 + r(X_2X_3 - X_1X_4), \quad (16)$$

where p, q, r are arbitrary real parameters. Since the algebra is nilpotent its Killing form is identically zero, while it holds that

$$\Omega^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & -r & 0 \\ 0 & q & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

which is again degenerate and does not possess an inverse.

For $\mathcal{A}_{5,4}$, which is also nilpotent, the commutation relations are

$$[X_2, X_4] = iX_1, \quad [X_3, X_5] = iX_1. \quad (18)$$

Its only invariant is X_1 and therefore it holds that

$$C^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}_{5,4}) = X_1^2, \quad (19)$$

which leads to $\Omega^{ab} = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$.

Finally, $\mathcal{A}_{5,39}$ is solvable with commutation relations

$$[X_1, X_4] = iX_1, \quad [X_2, X_4] = iX_2, \quad [X_1, X_5] = -iX_2, \quad [X_2, X_5] = iX_1, \quad [X_4, X_5] = iX_3. \quad (20)$$

Its only invariant is X_3 and therefore we find $C^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}_{5,39}) = X_3^2$, $g_{ab} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 2, -2)$ and $\Omega^{ab} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$. The last two cases are obviously degenerate too.

6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras. There are twenty-two real nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension six which are not algebraic sums of lower-dimensional ones. Four of them provide solutions to the equations (4) and in particular the $\mathcal{A}_{6,3}$, $\mathcal{A}_{6,4}$, $\mathcal{A}_{6,5}^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{A}_{6,14}^{-1}$. In the two latter cases the algebras have a continuous parameter α which in the last case is fixed to -1 in order to provide the desired solution. Let us mention again that the Killing form for all the nilpotent Lie algebras is identically zero.

The algebra $\mathcal{A}_{6,4}$ has the following commutation relations,

$$[X_1, X_2] = iX_5, \quad [X_1, X_3] = iX_6, \quad [X_2, X_4] = iX_6. \quad (21)$$

Its invariants are X_5 and X_6 and therefore

$$C^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}_{6,4}) = pX_5^2 + qX_6^2, \quad (22)$$

which gives the degenerate metric

$$\Omega^{ab} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 0, p, q). \quad (23)$$

Similarly, for $\mathcal{A}_{6,5}^\alpha$ the commutation relations read as

$$[X_1, X_3] = iX_5, \quad [X_1, X_4] = iX_6, \quad [X_2, X_3] = i\alpha X_6, \quad [X_2, X_4] = iX_5, \quad \alpha \neq 0. \quad (24)$$

The invariants are again X_5 and X_6 and therefore the results of the previous case apply in the present one as well.

The algebra $\mathcal{A}_{6,14}^{-1}$ has the following commutation relations,

$$[X_1, X_3] = iX_4, \quad [X_1, X_4] = iX_6, \quad [X_2, X_3] = iX_5, \quad [X_2, X_5] = -iX_6, \quad (25)$$

with invariants X_6 and $X_5^2 - X_4^2 + 2X_3X_6$. Therefore the quadratic Casimir operator is

$$C^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}_{5,14}^{-1}) = pX_6^2 + q(X_5^2 - X_4^2 + 2X_3X_6), \quad (26)$$

which gives

$$\Omega^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -q & 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

which is again degenerate.

The most interesting solution corresponds to the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{6,3}$. This one has the following commutation relations,

$$[X_1, X_2] = iX_6, \quad [X_1, X_3] = iX_4, \quad [X_2, X_3] = iX_5. \quad (28)$$

Its invariants are X_4, X_5, X_6 and $X_1X_5 + X_3X_6 - X_2X_4$ and therefore the general form of its quadratic Casimir operator reads as

$$C^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}_{6,3}) = pX_4^2 + qX_5^2 + rX_6^2 + s(X_1X_5 + X_3X_6 - X_2X_4). \quad (29)$$

Now the corresponding metric is given by

$$\Omega^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s \\ 0 & -s & 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ s & 0 & 0 & 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}, \quad (30)$$

which is the first non-degenerate case that we encounter in our analysis. The determinant of the metric is

$$|\Omega^{ab}| = -s^6 \neq 0 \quad (31)$$

and therefore it is invertible with inverse

$$\Omega_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} -q/s^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/s & 0 \\ 0 & -p/s^2 & 0 & -1/s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r/s^2 & 0 & 0 & 1/s \\ 0 & -1/s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/s & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

The six eigenvalues of the latter are

$$\frac{1}{s^2} \left(-x_i \pm \sqrt{x_i^2 + 4s^2} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (33)$$

where $x_1 = p$, $x_2 = q$ and $x_3 = r$. We observe that there are three positive and three negative eigenvalues, therefore the algebra is non-compact.

Finally, let us close this subsection by mentioning that there is one further non-trivial case in six dimensions, which is the algebraic sum of two 3-dimensional nilpotent algebras $\mathcal{A}_{3,1}$, namely $\mathcal{A}_{3,1} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{3,1}$. The properties of this case are directly derived from the properties of $\mathcal{A}_{3,1}$, which were presented before.

3.2 Representations in terms of Hermitian matrices

Let us now discuss the representation of the above algebras in terms of Hermitian matrices. First let us note that a complete study of the unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups was performed in [28], which facilitates our task.

The method that will be followed consists of the following steps. First the central elements, i.e. the elements which commute with all the algebra generators, are identified. These elements are mapped to operators which are multiples of the identity. Then, in order to completely define the representation one has to map the remaining elements to Hermitian matrices. It turns out that this last step amounts in mapping these elements to the usual operators for coordinates and momenta in quantum mechanics. Let us now present a case by case analysis following the above steps for the algebras which were identified in the previous subsection.

$\mathcal{A}_{3,1}$ case. This algebra has one central element, the X_1 . Therefore we map this element to a multiple of the identity,

$$X_1 = \theta \mathbb{1}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (34)$$

The remaining elements now satisfy the commutation relation,

$$[X_2, X_3] = i\theta \mathbb{1}, \quad (35)$$

which reduces to the Moyal-Weyl case. Clearly, X_2 and X_3 may then be represented by the usual Hermitian matrices corresponding to the coordinate and momentum operators of quantum mechanics. These matrices are of course infinite-dimensional and they have the well-known form

$$P = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -i & 0 & i\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & -i\sqrt{2} & 0 & i\sqrt{3} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & -i\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

Then the solution we have obtained is

$$\{X_1 = \theta \mathbb{1}, \quad X_2 = \sqrt{\theta} Q, \quad X_3 = \sqrt{\theta} P\}. \quad (37)$$

$\mathcal{A}_{4,12}$ case. As we already mentioned in the previous subsection, this algebra does not possess any invariants and therefore the method we follow here cannot be applied.

$\mathcal{A}_{5,1}$ case. The central elements of this algebra are X_1 and X_2 and the combination $X_2X_3 - X_1X_4$. Therefore we set

$$X_1 = \theta_1 \mathbb{1} \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 = \theta_2 \mathbb{1}. \quad (38)$$

Then the commutation relations read as

$$[X_3, X_5] = i\theta_1 \mathbb{1}, \quad [X_4, X_5] = i\theta_2 \mathbb{1}. \quad (39)$$

Moreover the last quadratic invariant has to be fixed,

$$X_2X_3 - X_1X_4 = \theta \mathbb{1}. \quad (40)$$

The resulting solution is

$$\{X_1 = \theta_1 \mathbb{1}, \quad X_2 = \theta_2 \mathbb{1}, \quad X_3 = \sqrt{\theta_1} Q, \quad X_4 = \frac{\theta_2}{\sqrt{\theta_1}} Q - \frac{\theta}{\theta_1} \mathbb{1}, \quad X_5 = \sqrt{\theta_1} P\}. \quad (41)$$

$\mathcal{A}_{5,4}$ case. The unique central element in the present case is X_1 . Therefore we set

$$X_1 = \theta \mathbb{1}, \quad (42)$$

which leads to the commutation relations

$$[X_2, X_4] = i\theta \mathbb{1}, \quad [X_3, X_5] = i\theta \mathbb{1}. \quad (43)$$

These relations may be interpreted as two quantum planes in the directions (24) and (35) respectively, with the same quantization parameter θ . The solution is

$$\{X_1 = \theta \mathbb{1}, \quad X_2 = \sqrt{\theta} Q, \quad X_3 = \sqrt{\theta} Q', \quad X_4 = \sqrt{\theta} P, \quad X_5 = \sqrt{\theta} P'\}, \quad (44)$$

where (Q, P) and (Q', P') are two sets of Hermitian matrices, representing the two different quantum planes and therefore mutually commuting.

$\mathcal{A}_{5,39}$ case. This is a solvable Lie algebra and the method we follow here does not directly apply. Therefore this case is less clear and it will not be treated any further.

$\mathcal{A}_{6,3}$ case. The central elements in the present case are X_4, X_5, X_6 and $X_1X_5 + X_3X_6 - X_2X_4$. Therefore we set

$$X_4 = \theta_4 \mathbb{1}, \quad X_5 = \theta_5 \mathbb{1} \quad \text{and} \quad X_6 = \theta_6 \mathbb{1}. \quad (45)$$

The commutation relations take the form

$$[X_1, X_2] = i\theta_6 \mathbb{1}, \quad [X_1, X_3] = i\theta_4 \mathbb{1}, \quad [X_2, X_3] = i\theta_5 \mathbb{1}, \quad (46)$$

while the quadratic invariant is fixed according to

$$X_1X_5 + X_3X_6 - X_2X_4 = \theta \mathbb{1}. \quad (47)$$

The resulting solution in this case is

$$\begin{aligned} \{X_1 = \sqrt{\theta_6} Q, \quad X_2 = \sqrt{\theta_6} P, \quad X_3 = -\frac{\theta_5}{\sqrt{\theta_6}} Q + \frac{\theta_4}{\sqrt{\theta_6}} P + \frac{\theta}{\theta_6} \mathbb{1}, \\ X_4 = \theta_4 \mathbb{1}, \quad X_5 = \theta_5 \mathbb{1}, \quad X_6 = \theta_6 \mathbb{1}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

$\mathcal{A}_{6,4}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{6,5}^\alpha$ cases. The central elements for these algebras are X_5 and X_6 . Therefore in both cases we set

$$X_5 = \theta_5 \mathbb{1}, \quad X_6 = \theta_6 \mathbb{1}. \quad (49)$$

Then, for the first case we obtain the commutation relations

$$[X_1, X_2] = i\theta_5 \mathbb{1}, \quad [X_1, X_3] = i\theta_6 \mathbb{1}, \quad [X_2, X_4] = i\theta_6 \mathbb{1}, \quad (50)$$

while for the second case

$$[X_1, X_3] = i\theta_5 \mathbb{1}, \quad [X_1, X_4] = i\theta_6 \mathbb{1}, \quad [X_2, X_3] = i\alpha\theta_6 \mathbb{1}, \quad [X_2, X_4] = i\theta_5 \mathbb{1}. \quad (51)$$

The resulting solutions take the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} \{X_1 &= \sqrt{\theta_6}Q - \frac{\theta_5}{2\sqrt{\theta_6}}P', \quad X_2 = \sqrt{\theta_6}Q' + \frac{\theta_5}{2\sqrt{\theta_6}}P, \\ X_3 &= \sqrt{\theta_6}P, \quad X_4 = \sqrt{\theta_6}P', \quad X_5 = \theta_5 \mathbb{1}, \quad X_6 = \theta_6 \mathbb{1}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{X_1 &= \sqrt{\theta_5}Q + \frac{\theta_6}{\sqrt{\theta_5}}Q', \quad X_2 = \sqrt{\theta_5}Q' + \frac{\alpha\theta_6}{\sqrt{\theta_5}}Q, \\ X_3 &= \sqrt{\theta_5}P, \quad X_4 = \sqrt{\theta_5}P', \quad X_5 = \theta_5 \mathbb{1}, \quad X_6 = \theta_6 \mathbb{1}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

respectively, where (Q, P) and (Q', P') are again two sets of mutually commuting representations.

$\mathcal{A}_{6,14}^{-1}$ case. The central elements in this case are X_6 and $X_5^2 - X_4^2 + 2X_3X_6$ and we set

$$X_6 = \theta_6 \mathbb{1}. \quad (54)$$

Then the commutation relations read as

$$[X_1, X_3] = iX_4, \quad [X_1, X_4] = i\theta_6 \mathbb{1}, \quad [X_2, X_3] = iX_5, \quad [X_2, X_5] = -i\theta_6 \mathbb{1}, \quad (55)$$

while there is also a relation of the form

$$X_5^2 - X_4^2 + 2X_3X_6 = \theta \mathbb{1}. \quad (56)$$

The solution in this case is

$$\begin{aligned} \{X_1 &= \sqrt{\theta_6}Q, \quad X_2 = \sqrt{\theta_6}P', \quad X_3 = \frac{1}{2}(P^2 - Q'^2 + \frac{\theta}{\theta_6}\mathbb{1}), \\ X_4 &= \sqrt{\theta_6}P, \quad X_5 = \sqrt{\theta_6}Q', \quad X_6 = \theta_6 \mathbb{1}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Having identified the above Lie-algebraic solutions of the IKKT model, in the following subsection we discuss their relation to NC geometry in a more general context.

3.3 Non-commutative spaces and *-products

NC spaces. The construction of “non-commutative (NC) spaces” is based on a shift from the space itself to the algebra of functions defined on it [39–43]. Therefore, strictly speaking a NC space is not a space in the classical sense but instead it corresponds to an associative but not necessarily commutative algebra \mathcal{A} , accompanied with a set of relations.

In order to be more specific, let us consider an associative algebra \mathcal{A} with generators $X_a, a = 1, \dots, N$. These generators satisfy certain commutation relations of the general form

$$[X_a, X_b] = i\theta_{ab}(X), \quad (58)$$

where $\theta_{ab}(X)$ is an arbitrary function of the generators X_a . Then the above algebraic structure defines a NC space and the generators of the algebra are commonly referred to as “coordinates” of the NC space [44]. The case of constant θ_{ab} corresponds to the Moyal-Weyl quantum plane, which was encountered in section 2 as the basic solution of the IKKT MM.

The cases we already studied in the present section correspond to a Lie algebra structure. In other words, the function θ_{ab} is linear in the generators X_a and the commutation relations read as

$$[X_a, X_b] = if_{ab}^c X_c. \quad (59)$$

The most prominent representatives of such a structure are the fuzzy 2-sphere [45] and its higher-dimensional generalizations [46], the fuzzy complex projective spaces [47, 48] and others [49–51]. All these NC spaces are compact, since they are based on compact semisimple Lie algebras. As we saw, these compact NC spaces do not directly provide solutions of the undeformed IKKT MM⁶. However, we proved that there exist solutions of the IKKT model with the structure (59), albeit based on non-compact algebras. Indeed, it is obvious from our previous analysis that in each case there is a set of generators and relations, along with the prescribed Casimir operators which fix the representation of the algebra. Thus all the cases that were discussed correspond to well-defined NC spaces. Moreover, in all the cases the number of generators minus the number of invariants (whose fixing specifies the representation) is always even. This means that the resulting solutions describe non-compact, non-commutative even-dimensional branes, similarly to the Dp -brane solutions of the IIB string theory (with p odd), appropriately embedded in 10-dimensional \mathbb{R}^{10} .

Weyl quantization and *-products. As we discussed above, the shift from spaces to algebras paves the road to NC geometry and provides a natural set-up to construct NC/quantized spaces which correspond to certain algebraic structures. Indeed, a natural way to quantize a manifold is to consider an appropriate algebra of functions on it and instead quantize the algebra, either by truncating it or deforming its product structure. The latter possibility belongs in the broad context of deformation quantization [52], whose most prominent physical example is phase-space (Weyl) quantization [53].

Let us briefly discuss Weyl quantization in the case of a Lie algebra structure following [44] and apply it in the specific cases studied here. A more formal and rigorous discussion based on the pioneering work of Kontsevich [54] may be found in [55]. Let us denote classical

⁶See however [14].

(commutative) coordinates by $x^a, a = 1, \dots, N$ and elements of \mathcal{A} (NC coordinates) by X^a , as before. An operator $W(f)$ may be associated to every classical function $f(x)$, given by

$$W(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n}} \int d^n k e^{ik_a X^a} \tilde{f}(k), \quad (60)$$

where \tilde{f} is the Fourier transform of f ,

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n}} \int d^n x e^{ik_a x^a} f(x). \quad (61)$$

Multiplying operators of the kind appearing in (60) results in new operators, which might or might not be associated to classical functions as well. In the case that this is possible, i.e. when

$$W(f)W(g) = W(h), \quad (62)$$

the corresponding function h will be identified with a deformed product of f and g , which is denoted by $*$, i.e.

$$h = f * g. \quad (63)$$

More explicitly, we can write the product of the operators as

$$W(f)W(g) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int d^n k d^n p e^{ik_a X^a} e^{ip_b X^b} \tilde{f}(k) \tilde{g}(p). \quad (64)$$

Then the function $f * g$ exists if the product of the two exponentials in the integrand can be calculated by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. In the case of a Lie structure one can write

$$e^{ik_a X^a} e^{ip_b X^b} = e^{iP_a(k,p)X^a}, \quad (65)$$

where

$$P_a = k_a + p_a + \frac{1}{2}g_a(k,p), \quad (66)$$

where the g_a contain the information about the NC structure. Having determined the functions g_a it is straightforward to write down the explicit formula for the $*$ -product,

$$f * g = e^{\frac{i}{2}x^a g_a(i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, i\frac{\partial}{\partial z})} f(y)g(z)|_{y,z \rightarrow x}. \quad (67)$$

Let us now determine the functions g_a for the cases that are studied here. By direct calculation we obtain the following results for each of the seven nilpotent cases:

$$\mathcal{A}_{3,1} : g_1 = ik_{[2p_3]}, \quad g_{2,3} = 0.$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{5,1} : g_1 = ik_{[3p_5]}, \quad g_2 = ik_{[4p_5]}, \quad g_{3,4,5} = 0.$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{5,4} : g_1 = i(k_{[2p_4]} + k_{[3p_5]}), \quad g_{2,3,4,5} = 0.$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{6,3} : g_{1,2,3} = 0, \quad g_4 = ik_{[1p_3]}, \quad g_5 = ik_{[2p_3]}, \quad g_6 = ik_{[1p_2]}.$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{6,4} : g_{1,2,3,4} = 0, \quad g_5 = ik_{[1p_2]}, \quad g_6 = i(k_{[1p_3]} + k_{[2p_4]}).$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{6,5} : g_{1,2,3,4} = 0, \quad g_5 = i(k_{[1}p_3] + k_{[2}p_4]), \quad g_6 = i(k_{[1}p_4] + k_{[2}p_3]).$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{6,14} : g_{1,2,3} = 0, \quad g_4 = ik_{[1}p_3], \quad g_5 = ik_{[2}p_3], \\ g_6 = i\left(k_{[1}p_4] - k_{[2}p_5] + \frac{i}{6}(k_1k_{[1}p_3] - k_2k_{[2}p_3] - p_1k_{[1}p_3] + p_2k_{[2}p_3])\right),$$

where the brackets appearing in the subscripts denote antisymmetrization with weight one. Plugging these expressions in the equation (67) gives directly the corresponding $*$ -product in each case. It is worth noting that due to the nature of nilpotent algebras the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula terminates and the above functions determine exactly the exponent of the $*$ -product.

4 Towards quantized compact nilmanifolds

In the present section we deviate from the search for solutions of the IKKT model and we pose the following question: are there compact manifolds based on the algebras discussed in section 3?

The simplest way to construct a manifold out of a nilpotent or a solvable Lie algebra \mathcal{A} is to consider the action of a discrete (sub)group Γ on its Lie group. Then the quotient⁷ $M = A/\Gamma$ is called a nilmanifold or a solvmanifold respectively. A very important result for our purposes states that in the nilpotent case such a construction is possible if and only if the corresponding Lie algebra has rational structure constants in some basis [29]. This guarantees that in the nilpotent cases that we study here, a Γ as above always exists⁸. For the solvable cases the situation is more complicated but it will soon become evident that the ones we met in section 3 are not of further interest for our purposes.

An important issue which we would like to mention regards the compactness of a nilmanifold. It is true that even starting with a non-compact group A it is possible to construct a compact manifold by considering its quotient by a compact discrete subgroup of it. A necessary condition for compactness is that the group is unimodular, i.e. its structure constants satisfy $f_{ab}^a = 0$ (this was already discussed in [30]). This condition is not sufficient but for nilpotent groups the requirement of rational structure constants is enough.

As a first check on whether we can construct compact manifolds based on the algebras that were singled out in section 3, let us try to verify the condition of unimodularity. It is straightforward to check (by mere inspection of the commutation relations) that $\mathcal{A}_{3,1}$, $\mathcal{A}_{5,1}$, $\mathcal{A}_{5,4}$, $\mathcal{A}_{6,3}$, $\mathcal{A}_{6,4}$, $\mathcal{A}_{6,5}^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{A}_{6,14}^{-1}$ indeed pass the test, while on the other hand $\mathcal{A}_{4,12}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{5,39}$ fail to do so. Therefore the two latter cases do not give rise to compact manifolds. It is worth noting that these two cases are exactly the only solvable ones that we found in section 3 and therefore our present analysis shows that there are no compact solvmanifolds⁹ corresponding to algebras which solve the equations (4). Therefore in the following only nilmanifolds will be discussed. For tables of nilmanifolds and solvmanifolds in six dimensions the reader may consult [34, 56].

Let us proceed by giving two explicit examples of the construction of a nilmanifold. The first one corresponds to the simplest case of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{3,1}$ with non-trivial commutation

⁷Here A denotes the group associated to the algebra \mathcal{A} .

⁸This does not mean though that it has to be unique.

⁹This argument holds of course only for up to 5-dimensional solvable algebras which we consider here.

relation $[X_2, X_3] = X_1$ [57]. A basis for the algebra is given by the following 3×3 upper triangular matrices¹⁰,

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (68)$$

Then, any element of the corresponding group $A_{3,1}$ may be parametrized as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_2 & x_1 \\ 0 & 1 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (69)$$

This is clearly a non-compact group. According to the above discussion, in order to produce a compact manifold out of it, a compact discrete subgroup Γ has to be considered. Such a subgroup is given by those elements $g \in A_{3,1}$ which have integer values of x_2, x_3 and cx_1 , where c is a positive integer. Then the quotient $A_{3,1}/\Gamma$ is indeed a compact nilmanifold. In the physics literature this manifold is known as a twisted torus and it corresponds to a (twisted) fibration of a torus over another torus, see e.g. [34].

Let us explain the above construction in more detail. Consider a representative element $g \in A_{3,1}$, as in (69). Then the Maurer-Cartan 1-form e is given by

$$e = g^{-1}dg, \quad (70)$$

which gives

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & dx_2 & dx_1 - x_2 dx_3 \\ 0 & 0 & dx_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (71)$$

The 1-form e is Lie-algebra valued, $e = e^a X_a$, and its components are

$$e^1 = dx^1 - x_2 dx_3, \quad e^2 = dx_2, \quad e^3 = dx_3, \quad (72)$$

which evidently satisfy the Maurer-Cartan equations

$$de^a = -\frac{1}{2}f_{bc}^a e^b \wedge e^c, \quad (73)$$

since $de^2 = de^3 = 0$ and $de^1 = -e^2 \wedge e^3$. The important observation here is that in order to compactify the group one has to introduce a twist. Indeed, while for the directions x_1 and x_3 the compactification is achieved by the identifications

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \sim (x_1 + a, x_2, x_3) \sim (x_1, x_2, x_3 + b), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (74)$$

one cannot do the same for x_2 , i.e. the identification $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \sim (x_1, x_2 + c, x_3)$ obviously does not work. Instead, the correct identification is

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \sim (x_1 + cx_3, x_2 + c, x_3). \quad (75)$$

¹⁰Of course these matrices are not Hermitian and therefore they cannot be related to solutions of the IKKT model.

Under (74) and (75) the desired (twisted) compactification is achieved.

The above example serves as a prototype for any other. One can always write down a basis for the algebra in terms of upper triangular matrices and compactify the corresponding group by modding out a discrete subgroup corresponding to elements with integer entries. Let us work out in some detail a less trivial, 6-dimensional example, based on the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{6,3}$. A basis for this algebra is given by the following 6×6 upper triangular matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & X_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & X_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ X_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & X_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & X_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

The corresponding general group element is given by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_2 & x_1 & x_6 & x_5 & x_4 \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 & x_3 & 0 \\ & & 1 & x_2 & 0 & x_3 \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (77)$$

The Maurer-Cartan 1-form may be computed and it has the following form,

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & dx_2 & dx_1 & dx_6 - x_1 dx_2 & dx_5 - x_2 dx_3 & dx_4 - x_1 dx_3 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & dx_3 & 0 \\ & & 0 & dx_2 & 0 & dx_3 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (78)$$

with components

$$\begin{aligned} e^1 &= dx_1, & e^2 &= dx_2, & e^3 &= dx_3, \\ e^4 &= dx_4 - x_1 dx_3, & e^5 &= dx_5 - x_2 dx_3, & e^6 &= dx_6 - x_1 dx_2. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

The necessity for twists is again evident. Indeed, it is straightforward to see that for the directions x_3, x_4, x_5 and x_6 we can consider the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) &\sim (x_1, x_2, x_3 + c, x_4, x_5, x_6) \\ &\sim (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 + d, x_5, x_6) \\ &\sim (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 + e, x_6) \\ &\sim (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 + f), \quad c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

while for the x_1 and x_2 ones the correct identifications are

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) &\sim (x_1 + a, x_2, x_3, x_4 + ax_3, x_5, x_6 + ax_2) \\ &\sim (x_1, x_2 + b, x_3, x_4, x_5 + bx_3, x_6), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Under (80) and (81) we obtain the desired twisted compactification.

Following the above procedure, nilmanifolds corresponding to the seven nilpotent Lie algebras which were singled out in section 3 may be constructed. The deformation quantization of the first one, based on $\mathcal{A}_{3,1}$, was performed in [57]. A detailed discussion on the quantization of the rest of the cases is beyond the scope of the present paper. However, the pursue of this task would be of interest e.g. for compactifications of the IKKT model. In [15] it was shown that compactifications on NC tori correspond to supergravity backgrounds with constant three-form flux. Then one could expect that similar compactifications on NC twisted tori could account for non-geometric flux vacua [58,59], incorporating the non-geometric fluxes in the geometry of the NC space. We hope to report on this in a future publication.

5 Discussion and Conclusions

In the present paper we performed a survey of Lie-algebraic solutions to the IKKT matrix model. Up to now it was known that manifolds with Lie-type non-commutativity are either solutions of deformed MM [9–13] or else some split non-commutativity has to be introduced [14]. Moreover, the above compact solutions are all based on compact semisimple Lie algebras. Our investigation revealed the possibility of obtaining (non-compact) solutions to the undeformed IKKT model without deformations or additional requirements, which are based on nilpotent and solvable Lie algebras.

More specifically, scanning the classification tables of [27] we found seven nilpotent Lie algebras (one 3-dimensional, two 5-dimensional and four 6-dimensional ones) and two solvable ones (one 4-dimensional and one 5-dimensional) which solve the equations of motion of the IKKT model. Subsequently, we discussed the representation of these algebras by Hermitian matrices. This is always possible for the nilpotent cases, thus proving that they indeed constitute solutions to the IKKT model. It is straightforward to combine these solutions with the basic 4-dimensional solution of the IKKT model, which was presented in section 2 and corresponds to NC space-time as a Moyal-Weyl quantum plane \mathbb{R}_θ^4 .

In addition, we addressed the problem of constructing compact NC spaces associated to these algebras. The simplest constructions of compact spaces based on non-semisimple Lie algebras are the so-called nilmanifolds and solvmanifolds, also known as twisted tori in the physics literature. These correspond to quotients of the group of a nilpotent or solvable Lie algebra respectively by a compact discrete subgroup of it. We argued that for the two solvable cases we found, there are no associated compact spaces. However, all the cases of nilpotent Lie algebras give rise to certain compact nilmanifolds. These nilmanifolds can be formally quantized via Weyl quantization. Although it cannot be argued at this stage that these compact manifolds are solutions of the IKKT model as well, it would be interesting to investigate the compactification of the model on them along the lines of [15].

Acknowledgements. Useful discussions with L. Jonke, A. Kehagias and H. Steinacker are gratefully acknowledged. This work was partially supported by the SFB-Transregio TR33 "The Dark Universe" (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) and the European Union 7th network program "Unification in the LHC era" (PITN-GA-2009-237920).

Appendix

A Definitions on Lie algebras

Lie algebras are classified according to their properties in simple, semisimple, abelian, nilpotent and solvable. In this brief appendix let us collect some useful definitions, which appear often in the main text. A standard reference is [60].

Let us denote a Lie algebra by \mathcal{A} and its generators by X_a . These generators satisfy the commutation relations

$$[X_a, X_b] = f_{ab}^c X_c, \quad (82)$$

where f_{ab}^c are the structure constants. Knowledge of the structure constants is enough to determine the Killing form g_{ab} according to the formula

$$g_{ab} = f_{ac}^d f_{bd}^c. \quad (83)$$

According to Cartan's criterion a Lie algebra is semisimple if and only if its Killing form is non-degenerate. Accordingly, the Killing form of a nilpotent Lie algebra vanishes identically.

Given a Lie algebra one may search for its invariants, i.e. functions of its generators which commute with all the generators. The most important of these invariants is the quadratic Casimir operator, which we denote as

$$C^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}) = \Omega^{ab} X_a X_b, \quad (84)$$

with Ω^{ab} the elements of a symmetric matrix. If the matrix Ω^{ab} is invertible, then one may form its inverse Ω_{ab} , which is symmetric, non-degenerate and invariant under the adjoint action of the corresponding group. In other words, Ω_{ab} is a metric on the corresponding group manifold. In fact, for semisimple algebras Ω_{ab} is proportional to the Killing form. This is no longer true for non-semisimple ones.

Let us define the derived algebra \mathcal{A}' of a Lie algebra \mathcal{A} as

$$\mathcal{A}' = [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]. \quad (85)$$

Moreover, let us introduce two generalizations of the derived algebra and in particular the so-called upper central series or derived series of \mathcal{A} , defined as

$$\mathcal{A}^{\{i\}} = [\mathcal{A}^{\{i-1\}}, \mathcal{A}^{\{i-1\}}], \quad i \geq 2 \quad (86)$$

and the lower central series, defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\{i\}} = [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{\{i-1\}}], \quad i \geq 2. \quad (87)$$

In the above iterative definitions it holds that $\mathcal{A}_{\{1\}} = \mathcal{A}^{\{1\}} = \mathcal{A}'$. Then we have the following two definitions:

- A Lie algebra is called solvable if its derived series becomes zero after a finite number of steps, i.e. $\exists i_0$, such that $\mathcal{A}^{\{i_0\}} = 0$.
- A Lie algebra is called nilpotent of step i_0 if its lower central series becomes zero after a finite number of steps, i.e. $\exists i_0$, such that $\mathcal{A}_{\{i_0\}} = 0$.

Clearly nilpotency implies solvability.

References

- [1] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker, L. Susskind, “M theory as a matrix model: A Conjecture,” *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 5112-5128. [hep-th/9610043].
- [2] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, “A large-N reduced model as superstring,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **498** (1997) 467 [arXiv:hep-th/9612115].
- [3] I. Chepelev, Y. Makeenko, K. Zarembo, “Properties of D-branes in matrix model of IIB superstring,” *Phys. Lett.* **B400** (1997) 43-51. [hep-th/9701151].
- [4] A. Fayyazuddin, D. J. Smith, “P-brane solutions in IKKT IIB matrix theory,” *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A12** (1997) 1447-1454. [hep-th/9701168].
- [5] A. Fayyazuddin, Y. Makeenko, P. Olesen, D. J. Smith, K. Zarembo, “Towards a non-perturbative formulation of IIB superstrings by matrix models,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B499** (1997) 159-182. [hep-th/9703038].
- [6] H. Aoki, S. Iso, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, T. Tada, “Space-time structures from IIB matrix model,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **99** (1998) 713-746. [hep-th/9802085].
- [7] H. Aoki, N. Ishibashi, S. Iso, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, T. Tada, “Noncommutative Yang-Mills in IIB matrix model,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B565** (2000) 176-192. [hep-th/9908141].
- [8] R. C. Myers, “Dielectric branes,” *JHEP* **9912** (1999) 022. [hep-th/9910053].
- [9] S. Iso, Y. Kimura, K. Tanaka, K. Wakatsuki, “Noncommutative gauge theory on fuzzy sphere from matrix model,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B604** (2001) 121-147. [hep-th/0101102].
- [10] Y. Kimura, “Noncommutative gauge theories on fuzzy sphere and fuzzy torus from matrix model,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **106** (2001) 445-469. [hep-th/0103192].
- [11] Y. Kitazawa, “Matrix models in homogeneous spaces,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B642** (2002) 210-226. [hep-th/0207115].
- [12] T. Azuma, S. Bal, K. Nagao, J. Nishimura, “Nonperturbative studies of fuzzy spheres in a matrix model with the Chern-Simons term,” *JHEP* **0405** (2004) 005. [hep-th/0401038].
- [13] H. Steinacker, “Quantized gauge theory on the fuzzy sphere as random matrix model,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B679** (2004) 66-98. [hep-th/0307075].

- [14] H. Steinacker, “Split noncommutativity and compactified brane solutions in matrix models,” [arXiv:1106.6153 [hep-th]].
- [15] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas, A. S. Schwarz, “Noncommutative geometry and matrix theory: Compactification on tori,” JHEP **9802** (1998) 003. [hep-th/9711162].
- [16] H. Aoki, S. Iso, T. Suyama, “Orbifold matrix model,” Nucl. Phys. **B634** (2002) 71-89. [hep-th/0203277].
- [17] H. Aoki, “Chiral fermions and the standard model from the matrix model compactified on a torus,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **125** (2011) 521-536. [arXiv:1011.1015 [hep-th]].
- [18] H. Grosse, F. Lizzi, H. Steinacker, “Noncommutative gauge theory and symmetry breaking in matrix models,” Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 085034. [arXiv:1001.2703 [hep-th]].
- [19] A. Chatzistavrakidis, H. Steinacker, G. Zoupanos, “Intersecting branes and a standard model realization in matrix models,” [arXiv:1107.0265 [hep-th]].
- [20] P. Aschieri, T. Grammatikopoulos, H. Steinacker, G. Zoupanos, “Dynamical generation of fuzzy extra dimensions, dimensional reduction and symmetry breaking,” JHEP **0609** (2006) 026. [hep-th/0606021].
- [21] A. Chatzistavrakidis, H. Steinacker, G. Zoupanos, “On the fermion spectrum of spontaneously generated fuzzy extra dimensions with fluxes,” Fortsch. Phys. **58** (2010) 537-552. [arXiv:0909.5559 [hep-th]].
- [22] A. Chatzistavrakidis, H. Steinacker, G. Zoupanos, “Orbifolds, fuzzy spheres and chiral fermions,” JHEP **1005** (2010) 100. [arXiv:1002.2606 [hep-th]].
- [23] A. Chatzistavrakidis, G. Zoupanos, “Higher-Dimensional Unified Theories with Fuzzy Extra Dimensions,” SIGMA **6** (2010) 063. [arXiv:1008.2049 [hep-th]].
- [24] A. A. Kehagias, “All WZW models in $D \leq 5$,” [hep-th/9406136].
- [25] G. M. Mubarakzjanov, “The classification of the real structure of five-dimensional Lie algebras”, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Matematika, 1963, V. 34, 99.
- [26] V. V. Morozov, “Classification of nilpotent Lie algebras of sixth order”, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. 4 (5), 161 (1958).
- [27] J. Patera, R. T. Sharp, P. Winternitz, H. Zassenhaus, “Invariants of Real Low Dimension Lie Algebras,” J. Math. Phys. **17** (1976) 986-994.
- [28] A. A. Kirillov, “Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups,” , Russian Mathematical Surveys, 1962, 17:4, 53-104.
- [29] A. I. Mal’cev, “On a class of homogeneous spaces”, AMS Translation No. 39 (1951).
- [30] J. Scherk, J. H. Schwarz, “How to Get Masses from Extra Dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. **B153** (1979) 61-88.

- [31] G. Lopes Cardoso, G. Curio, G. Dall'Agata, D. Lust, P. Manousselis, G. Zoupanos, "NonKahler string backgrounds and their five torsion classes," Nucl. Phys. **B652** (2003) 5-34. [hep-th/0211118].
- [32] G. Dall'Agata, S. Ferrara, "Gauged supergravity algebras from twisted tori compactifications with fluxes," Nucl. Phys. **B717**, 223-245 (2005). [hep-th/0502066].
- [33] C. M. Hull, R. A. Reid-Edwards, "Flux compactifications of string theory on twisted tori," Fortsch. Phys. **57** (2009) 862-894. [hep-th/0503114].
- [34] M. Grana, R. Minasian, M. Petrini, A. Tomasiello, "A Scan for new N=1 vacua on twisted tori," JHEP **0705** (2007) 031. [hep-th/0609124].
- [35] J. Nishimura, F. Sugino, "Dynamical generation of four-dimensional space-time in the IIB matrix model," JHEP **0205** (2002) 001. [hep-th/0111102].
- [36] H. Kawai, S. Kawamoto, T. Kuroki, S. Shinohara, "Improved perturbation theory and four-dimensional space-time in IIB matrix model," Prog. Theor. Phys. **109** (2003) 115-132. [hep-th/0211272].
- [37] G. M. Mubarakzjanov, "Classification of Solvable Lie Algebras of Sixth Order with a Non-Nilpotent Basis Element," Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Matematika, 1963, V. 35, 104.
- [38] P. Turkowski, "Solvable Lie algebras of dimension six", J. Math. Phys. 31, 1344 (1990).
- [39] A. Connes, "Noncommutative geometry," Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994, 661p.
- [40] J. Madore, "An introduction to noncommutative differential geometry and its physical applications," Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. **257** (2000) 1-371.
- [41] G. Landi, "An Introduction to noncommutative spaces and their geometry," [hep-th/9701078].
- [42] R. J. Szabo, "Quantum field theory on noncommutative spaces," Phys. Rept. **378** (2003) 207-299. [hep-th/0109162].
- [43] A. P. Balachandran, S. Kurkcuglu, S. Vaidya, "Lectures on fuzzy and fuzzy SUSY physics," Singapore, Singapore: World Scientific (2007) 191 p.. [hep-th/0511114].
- [44] J. Madore, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, J. Wess, "Gauge theory on noncommutative spaces," Eur. Phys. J. **C16** (2000) 161-167. [hep-th/0001203].
- [45] J. Madore, "The Fuzzy sphere," Class. Quant. Grav. **9** (1992) 69-88.
- [46] S. Ramgoolam, "On spherical harmonics for fuzzy spheres in diverse dimensions," Nucl. Phys. **B610**, 461-488 (2001). [hep-th/0105006].
- [47] H. Grosse and A. Strohmaier, "Towards a nonperturbative covariant regularization in 4-D quantum field theory," Lett. Math. Phys. **48** (1999) 163 [arXiv:hep-th/9902138].

- [48] A. P. Balachandran, B. P. Dolan, J. -H. Lee, X. Martin, D. O'Connor, "Fuzzy complex projective spaces and their star products," *J. Geom. Phys.* **43** (2002) 184-204. [hep-th/0107099].
- [49] S. P. Trivedi and S. Vaidya, "Fuzzy cosets and their gravity duals," *JHEP* **0009**, 041 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0007011].
- [50] B. P. Dolan, O. Jahn, "Fuzzy complex Grassmannian spaces and their star products," *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **18** (2003) 1935-1958. [hep-th/0111020].
- [51] S. Murray, C. Saemann, "Quantization of Flag Manifolds and their Supersymmetric Extensions," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **12** (2008) 641-710. [hep-th/0611328].
- [52] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, D. Sternheimer, "Deformation Theory and Quantization. 1. Deformations of Symplectic Structures," *Annals Phys.* **111** (1978) 61; "Deformation Theory and Quantization. 2. Physical Applications," *Annals Phys.* **111** (1978) 111.
- [53] H. Weyl, "Quantum mechanics and group theory," *Z. Phys.* **46** (1927) 1.
- [54] M. Kontsevich, "Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds. 1.," *Lett. Math. Phys.* **66** (2003) 157-216. [arXiv:q-alg/9709040 [q-alg]].
- [55] V. Kathotia, "Kontsevich's Universal Formula for Deformation Quantization and the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff Formula, I", arXiv:math/9811174v2 [math.QA].
- [56] G. R. Cavalcanti, M. Gualtieri, "Generalized complex structures on nilmanifolds", *J. Symplectic Geom.* **2** (2004), no. 3, 393–410, arXiv:math/0404451v1 [math.DG].
- [57] M. A. Rieffel, "Deformation quantization of Heisenberg manifolds", *Comm. Math. Phys.* Volume 122, Number 4 (1989), 531-562.
- [58] J. Shelton, W. Taylor, B. Wecht, "Nongeometric flux compactifications," *JHEP* **0510** (2005) 085. [hep-th/0508133].
- [59] B. Wecht, "Lectures on Nongeometric Flux Compactifications," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) S773-S794. [arXiv:0708.3984 [hep-th]].
- [60] J. Fuchs, C. Schweigert, "Symmetries, Lie algebras and representations: A graduate course for physicists," Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1997) 438 p.